

LEGEND Let Evidence Guide Every New Decision Judging the Strength of a Recommendation

- Determine the strength of this recommendation by making a considered judgment on the dimensions listed below through a consensus process.
- Consider critically appraised evidence, clinical experience, patient/family values and preferences, and other factors (such as social determinants of health, care access, and health equity), when weighing how much each dimension influences the recommendation strength.

Care Recommendation Statement (#):

Commented [DSL1]: Enter recommendation statement if desired

Dimensions for Judging the Strength of a Recommendation								
1. Safety versus Harm	□ Safety > Harm		□ Balanced Safety & Harm		□ Safety < Harm			
2. Clinically Effective / Benefits Patient	Beneficial/Effective		Neutral Effect or Benefit		□ Ineffective/No Benefit			
3. Adherence	□ Low burden of		Moderate/Neutral burden of		High burden of adherence			
Burden for staff and/or patient/family Access to care	adherence		adherence					
4. Cost	□ Cost-Effective		Cost–Neutral		□ Cost–Prohibitive			
Cost for organization and/or patient/family								
5. Impact on Quality of Life, Morbidity, and Mortality	□ Positive impact		Moderate/Neutral impact		□ Negative impact			
6. Directness of the Evidence	Directly Related		□ Somewhat Related		Indirectly Related			
7. Grade of the Body of Evidence (BOE)	□ High ●●●●		Moderate ⊕⊕⊕O		□ Very Low ⊕OOO	Consensus		

• Reflect on your answers above to the dimensions and choose one of the sentences below to begin the recommendation statement. The recommendation strength and wording depend on the intensity or judgment of each of the dimensions.

Recommendation Wording Guidance	Judgment of Strength		
□ It is strongly recommended that	(Recommendation Strength: Strong)		
□ It is recommended that	(Recommendation Strength: Moderate)		
□ It is suggested that	(Recommendation Strength: Weak)		
Consider	(Recommendation Strength: Consensus)		

• Describe the team's rationale for the choices made in the table above in the "Discussion/Synthesis of the Evidence" section of care recommendation documents.

Some of the concepts for this development based on: Guyatt: Grading strength of recommendations and quality of evidence in clinical guidelines: report from an American College of Chest Physicians task force. Chest, 129(1): 174-81, 2006; Harbour: A new system for grading recommendations in evidence based guidelines. BMJ, 323(7308): 334-6, 2001; and Steinberg: Evidence based? Caveat emptor! Health Aff (Millwood), 24(1): 80-92, 2005. Commented [DSL2]: May include, but not limited to, hassle, discomfort, pain, motivation, ability to adhere, time, workflow

Commented [DSL3]: May include, but not limited to, affordability, patient cost, length of stay, resource cost [staff time, supplies based on published studies/onsite analysis]

```
Commented [DSL4]: The extent to which the BOE directly
answers the clinical question - Applicability, Generalizability
Commented [DSL5R4]: Applicability and generalizability in
relation to the patient or target population
```